

Docket No.: YOR920010335

Application for United States Patent Declaration and Power of Attorney

As a below named inventor, we hereby declare that:

Our residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to our names;

We believe that we are original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled AN AUTOMATIC METHOD FOR GENERATING A MATHEMATICAL PROGRAM TO IDENTIFY AN OPTIMAL ALL-OR-NOTHING BID SET FOR PROCUREMENT-RELATED REVERSE AUCTIONS the specification of which:

(check one) ☒ is attached hereto
☐ was filed on _____ as
 Application Serial No. _____
 and was amended on _____ (if applicable)

We hereby state that we have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above.

We acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a).*

We hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, § 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Application(s)	Priority Claimed
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div>_____ (Number)</div> <div>_____ (Country)</div> <div>_____ (Day/Month/Year Filed)</div> </div>	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div>_____ yes</div> <div>_____ no</div> </div>

We hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, we acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

(Application Serial No.)	(Filing Date)	(Status: patented, pending, abandoned)
--------------------------	---------------	--

Power of Attorney: As named inventors, we hereby appoint Manny W. Schechter, Reg. No. 31,722, Lauren Bruzzzone, Reg. No. 35,082, Stephen C. Kaufman, Reg. No. 29,551, Louis J. Percello, Reg. No. 33,206, Robert M. Trepp, Reg. No. 25,933, Daniel P. Morris, Reg. No. 32,053, Robert P. Tassinari, Jr., Reg. No. 36,030, Paul J. Otterstedt, Reg. No. 37,411, Marian Underweiser, Reg. No. 46,134, Douglas W. Cameron, Reg. No. 31,596, Louis P. Herzberg, Reg. No. 41,500, Christopher A. Hughes, Reg. No. 26,914, John E. Hocl, Reg. No. 26,279, Joseph C. Redmond, Jr., Reg. No. 18,753, Derek S. Jennings, Reg. No. 41,473, Gail H. Zarick, Reg. No. 43,303, C. Lamont Whitham, Reg. No. 22,424, Marshall M. Curtis, Reg. No. 33,138 and Michael E. Whitham, Reg. No. 32,635, Kevin A. Reif, Reg. No. 36,381, Samuel W. Ntiros, Reg. No. 39,318, Andrew M. Calderon, Reg. No. 38,093, Ruth E. Tyler-Cross, Reg. No. 45,922, Philip D. Lane, Reg. No. 41,140, Shui-Chou Chou, Reg. No. 44,081, Clyde R. Christofferson, Reg. No. 34,138, Mary G. Goulet, Reg. No. 35,884, S. Luke Anderson, Reg. No. 44,507, and Andrew Y. Pang, Reg. No. 40,114, as attorneys and/or agents to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. All correspondence should be directed to McGuireWoods, LLP, 1750 Tysons Boulevard, Suite 1800, Tysons Corner, McLean, Virginia 22102-3915. Phone calls should be directed to McGuireWoods, LLP, at 703-712-5000.

1 of 2

Docket No.: YOR920010335

We hereby declare that all statements made herein of our own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

(1) Inventor: Andrew J. Davenport

Signature: Andrew DavenportDate: 7/25/2001

Residence: 38 1/2 Wolden Road, Apt. C-21, Ossining, New York 10562

Citizenship: United Kingdom

Post Office Address: Same as Residence

(2) Inventor: Jayant R. Kalagnanam

Signature: Jayant R. KalagnanamDate: 7/25/2001

Residence: 47 Church Street, Tarrytown, New York 10591

Citizenship: India

Post Office Address: Same as Residence

(3) Inventor: Ho Sop Lee

Signature: Ho Sop LeeDate: 7/25/2001

Residence: 100 Diplomat Drive, Apt. 6N, Mount Kisco, New York 10549

Citizenship: United States of America

Post Office Address: Same as Residence

*Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a):

(a) A duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office rests on the inventor, on each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application and on every other individual who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application. All such individuals have a duty to disclose to the Office information they are aware of which is material to the examination of the application. Such information is material where there is substantial likelihood that a reasonable examiner would consider it important in deciding whether to allow the application to issue as a patent. The duty is commensurate with the degree of involvement in the preparation or prosecution of the application.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and (1) it establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability; or (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.